

Factors Associated with School Burnout in High School Students of South Punjab, Pakistan

Shagufta Bibi¹, Zhenhong Wang¹, Abdul Sattar Ghaffari^{2*}, Arslan Khalid³, Zafar Iqbal⁴

¹School of Psychology, Shaanxi Normal University, 199 South Chang 'an Road Xian, P.R. China;

²Zhongtai Securities, Institute for Financial Studies, School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China;

³Department of Medical Psychology and Medical Ethics, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China;

⁴Department of Applied Psychology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan

*E-mail: sattarbzu@hotmail.com

Received for publication: 13 May 2019.

Accepted for publication: 10 September 2019.

Abstract

The present study aimed to investigate the factors associated with school burn out among high school students in South Punjab. It was hypothesized that high achievement goals and achievement emotions negatively affect the school burnout among students. Data was collected from different high schools situated in Multan, Muzaffargarh, Bahawalpur and Rahim Yar Khan in South Punjab. The sample was consisted of 813 students from which 387 were females and 426 were males. The age range of participants was 14 to 16, with a mean age of 15.5 ± 0.85 . The Achievement Goal Questionnaire, Achievement emotions Questionnaire (AEQ) and The Maslach Burnout Inventory–Student Survey were used as the data collection tools. Findings indicated that the investigated variables (i.e., students' levels of school burnout, achievement goals, and achievement emotions) displayed the expected correlation patterns, with a negative association between high achievement goals, high achievement emotions and school burnout. Furthermore, these correlation patterns were confirmed for both female and male students. Findings revealed that females are higher in the level of performance approach, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions as compared to males and males are higher in emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency. Findings also indicate that on the family side students from nuclear family systems have higher in performance approach, performance avoidance and class related emotions whereas students from joint family system were higher in emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency. On the public and private school comparison results revealed that students from public schools have higher level of performance approach, mastery approach, class related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions as compared to students of private schools.

Keywords: Burnout, Achievement Goal, Achievement Emotions

Introduction

Burnout is usually characterized as a malady of enthusiastic exhaustion which results in a immense provision of study, the expansion of a sarcastic and disengaged mindset towards one's study, sentiments of insufficiency as a higher school student (Salmela-Aro, et.al, 2009). Recently the focus of research has moved from burnout to academic commitment in the school (SalmelaAro & Upadaya, 2012, 2014), work (Schaufeli, et al., 2002) and, to a lesser degree. The present examination was expected to explore the elements that can prompt burnout in secondary school students.

Education is important in the developmental context for high school students. Which may be affected by many factors, such as low academic achievement and motivation, poor self-esteem, stress and fatigue, and intrinsic and extrinsic behavioral issues (Robotham, 2008) described derangement in education, few research studies have been conducted about problems related to education such as for burnout. Exhaustion associated with education can be characterized as “feelings of stress and fatigue for one’s study” (a possible symptom of burnout) occur due to expected load and demands of study. Cynicism associated to education is characterized as “an uncaring or a distant attitude toward one’s study”, a lack of interest in one’s educational work and consider education as inconsequential. Lack of efficacy in study is termed as feelings of incapacity as a student which leads to curtail sense of capability, successful attainment (Salmela-Aro, et al., 2009). Parker and Salmela-Aro (2011) mentioned that emotional exhaustion and cynicism are independent elements that envisage differences in feelings of inadequacy over time. Inadequacy is considered as the last stage of burnout which causes the significant risk of dropout (Tinto, 2007).

Educational burnout imbricates with some earlier concepts. For example, exhaustion which includes the symptoms of worry, tiredness, anxiety and stress due to study demands (Robotham, 2008). Whereas, cynicism includes the decreased sense of attainment, lack of interest, indifference, feelings of defeat and incapacity, which featured the symptoms of depression (Andrews & Wilding, 2004). However the symptoms of anxiety, stress and depression, usually are not studied specifically to educational context whereas burnout and cynicism are context specific measure and mostly studied with regards to higher education. In the context of education, burnout is a serious issue because it leads to other mental health problems in later life (SalmelaAro,et.al, 2009; Salmela-Aro, 2014) and to dropout (Bask et.al, 2012).

Findings related to the JD-R model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2006) has revealed that many physical, psychological, social and organizational demands and resources leads to burnout in students (Salmela-Aro & Upadyaya, 2014). Burnout has been found to be associated with ennui, reduced quality of school performance, high external locus of control, self-handicapping and lack of interest in goal achievement (Covington, 2000). Cynicism is found to be associated with lack of control and social support (Demerouti, et.al 2001). Recent study aimed to inspect the effect of achievement goals and emotions on burnout ratio among high school students with reference to gender and other demographic variables. Other researches also focused on such issues for example Pomerants et.al, (2002) found that with reference to educational attainment and adjustment, females perform better as compare to males and give more importance to achievement than males. Similarly Reiseberg (2000) found that females experience more educational stress as compared to males and school burnout (Kiuru, et.al, 2009) and internalized symptoms (Pomerantz et al., 2002) compared to males.

As youngers move toward higher levels in education, they perceive it more spirited. Salmela & Tynkkynen (2012) found that girls perceive competitive learning more negatively than boys and give more significance to academic achievement as compared to boys. Similarly girls found to have more involvement in educational activities as compared to boys (Vasalampi, Salmela-Aro & Nurmi, 2009). These findings indicate that girls suffer more negative experiences in study like burnout, exhaustion and inadequacy as compared to boys.

Burnout among students is assumed as a psychological syndrome which occurs due to exposure to stress and pressure of study and achievement in education (Fiorilli et al., 2014; Di Chiacchio et al., 2016). School burnout include decreased interest in class and educational activities, persistent absence from school or class, persistent tardiness and irresponsible behaviors, feelings of inadequacy and unvalued by teachers and maladjustment at school and class (Kwak, 2006).

It is evident that students at higher education experience a variety of emotions in educational settings, such as pleasure, optimism, anxiety, embarrassment, and tension (Pekrun, et.al, 2011). These emotions are part of higher education (Pekrun & Stephens, 2012). Self-regulated learning (Villavicencio & Bernardo, 2013), perceived control (Pekrun, 2006), and academic achievement (Mega, Ronconi, & De Beni, 2014) in higher education are essential for goals attainment (Pekrun, Elliot, & Maier, 2009).

Pekrun et al. (2002) defined *academic emotion* as “an emotion experienced in academic settings and related to studying, learning or instruction”. Academic emotions include appreciation, arrogance of achievement, or anxiety about exam and test. Previously, academic emotions were considered not much important in educational psychology and related research. Pekrun (2005) recommended that emotional condition of students is complex and extravagant in nature than the traditional perspectives appear to propose. A wide range of emotions may play a role in learning and studying.

Academic emotions are usually social in nature and affective experiences are all the time situated in the abrupt and wider social framework (Opt't & Turner, 2006). Lonka, et.al (2004) in their study suggested three levels of context, the general domain, course-specific and situational levels. They studied academic emotions in situational context.

Most researchers made a distinction between short term and long-term dimensions of emotions (Diener, 1999). Rather than review feeling as a trait, which alludes to temperamental characteristics and reliable and stable long term individual differences in affective experiences, we would rather discuss different general dispositions in emotional experience. A state feeling usually alludes to a transient response to specific sorts of adaptive experiences (Lazarus, 1994). For instance, somebody encounters uneasiness or anxiety at a particular time and state; the state could change conditions. At the point when a feeling is seen as a state, we need to realize what it is about the circumstance that produces anxiety, for instance, recognized from different emotions (Lazarus, 1994).

Achievement goal theory places that there is a unified model of convictions (goal orientations) that direct students to approach, connect with and react to achievement tasks and circumstances in a particular way (Schunk et al., 2008). Goals symbolize the reasons that students have in various achievement circumstances, and are ventured to manage students' behavior, thoughts and emotions as they wind up associated with academic work (Elliot, 2005; Kaplan & Maehr, 2007). Two academic goals have been the focal point of much research: mastery goals and performance goals.

Key to a mastery goal is the conviction that exertion prompts achievement: the focal point of consideration is the internal benefit of learning. With a mastery goal, people are arranged towards growing new skills, attempting to comprehend their work, enhancing their dimension of capability or accomplishing a feeling of mastery (Elliot, 2005). As such, understudies feel effective in the event that they trust they have by and by enhanced or have come to comprehend something. Their execution in respect to others is insignificant; of more prominent significance to them is the errand. The most recent improvement of achievement goal theory has ramifying mastery goal orientation into two structures, mastery-approach and mastery-avoidance (Cury, et.al, 2006; Pintrich et al., 2003). While a mastery-approach goal orientation is basically indistinguishable to the mastery goal orientation clarified over, a mastery-avoidance goal centers around abstaining from appearing or maintaining a strategic distance from not learning or not acing the assignment (Elliot, 2005; Pintrich et al., 2003).

Fundamental to a performance goal is an emphasis on one's capacity and feeling of self-worth. Capacity is appeared by showing improvement over others, by outperforming standards or by making progress with little exertion. Open recognition for showing improvement over others is a

vital component of a performance goal orientation. Performance goals and achievement are 'referenced' against the performance of others or against outside models, for example, marks and grades. Thusly, 'self-worth' is dictated by one's impression of the capacity to perform in respect to other people. Henceforth, when students make a decent attempt without being totally fruitful (regarding the set up standards), their feeling of self-worth might be compromised. Performance goals have likewise been bifurcated into performance-approach and performance-avoidance goals (Elliot, 2005; McGregor and Elliot, 2002; Wolters, 2004). Students who adopt the performance-approach goal orientation need to show improvement over their colleagues so they will be perceived as skilled by their companions, instructors, and guardians. Students who adopt the performance-avoidance goal orientation do their scholastic work essentially in light of the fact that they dread to seem clumsy (Elliot, 2005).

The research proposes that mastery-approach is an adaptive motivator. Students embracing a mastery-approach goal orientation will, in general, utilize high levels of cognitive techniques, for example, elaboration, just as meta-cognitive and self-regulatory systems (Gabriele, 2007; Liem et al., 2008; Wolters, 2004). A comparable example of discoveries has been found across cultures (Watkins, et.al, 2003; Watkins, et.al, 2002). The few investigations that have analyzed a mastery-avoidance goal orientation have shown that this orientation is generally disconnected to cognitive techniques, yet negatively identified with intrinsic inspiration, saw capability (Cury et al., 2006) and classroom grades (Liem & Prasetya, 2006), and decidedly identified with negative emotions, for example, test anxiety and worry, (Elliot & McGregor, 2001), help-seeking risk (Karabenick, 2003), and to less adaptive approaches to learning (Cury et al., 2006).

Research has additionally shown the adaptive impacts of performance-approach goal orientation on improved educational results, for example, profound cognitive techniques, positive effects, positive friend connections, and classroom grades (Liem et al., 2008; Pekrun, et.al, 2009; Kaplan & Maehr, 2007). It ought to be noted, in any case, that a performance-approach goal orientation has additionally been related with negative results, for example, anxiety, disruptive behavior, and low retention of knowledge (Midgley, et.al, 2001). Conversely, students who embrace a performance-avoidance goal orientation are bound to utilize surface cognitive techniques, for example, repetition memorization and practice (Liem et al., 2008; Pugh & Bergin, 2006). Moreover, a performance-avoidance goal orientation likewise seems to decrease intrinsic inspiration for learning and is identified with low dimensions of undertaking commitment and ingenuity, avoidance of help-seeking, anxiety, procrastination, and low grades (Elliot, 2005; Sideridis, 2005; Urdan, 2004; Wolters, 2003).

Methodology

Participants

The sample was consisted of N = 813 students from which 387 were females and 426 were males studying at high schools in Multan, Muzaffargarh, Bahawalpur and Rahim Yar Khan of South Punjab. Age range of participants was 14 to 16 years, with a mean age of 15.5 ± 0.85 . All participants were belonged to middle socioeconomic status. Written consent was taken from school authorities, students and their parents. APA code of ethics was followed during the research process.

Instruments and Procedure

The Achievement Goal Questionnaire

The Achievement Goal Questionnaire (Elliot & McGregor, 2001), consisted of 12 items was used to measure mastery-approach, mastery-avoidance, Performance-approach, and Performance-avoidance.

Achievement Emotions Questionnaire

Achievement emotions Questionnaire (AEQ) (Pekrun et al. 2011) consists of 24 items to access the class-related, learning-related, and test-related emotions. Each of these scales contains items measuring the affective, cognitive, motivational, and physiological components of the respective emotion.

The Maslach Burnout Inventory

The Maslach Burnout Inventory–Student Survey developed by (Schaufeli, et al., 2002) was used to measure Exhaustion, Cynicism and Academic efficacy.

Results**Table 1. Correlation between Achievement Goals, Academic Emotions and Job Burnout**

Variable		M±SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Achievement Goal	Performance Approach	18.39±1.45	1									
	Mastery Avoidance	11.38±1.47	.652**	1								
	Mastery Approach	15.43±1.47	.669**	.774**	1							
	Performance Avoidance	16.48±1.47	.544**	.143**	.267**	1						
Academic Emotions	Class Related Emotions	20.19±3.54	.843**	.739**	.600**	.430**	1					
	Learning Related Emotions	23.18±3.50	.687**	.774**	.703**	.359**	.772**	1				
	Test Emotions	17.21±3.49	.681**	.871**	.704**	.260**	.813**	.846**	1**			
Burnout	Emotional Exhaustion	18.51±2.05	-.830**	-.778**	-.621**	-.358**	-.955**	-.719**	-.766**	1**		
	Cynicism	12.99±1.57	-.705**	-.334**	-.385**	-.350**	-.543**	-.366**	-.388**	.543**	1**	
	Academic Efficacy	36.96±2.53	-.369**	-.226**	-.366**	-.100**	-.285**	-.273**	-.281**	.277**	.614**	1**

Performance approach, mastery avoidance, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions are positively correlated with each other while negatively with emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency. Similarly emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency are positively correlated with each other.

Table 2. t-test for Male and Female Students

Mean, Standard Deviation and t- value for the score of male (n=426) and female (n=387) on the scale of performance approach, mastery avoidance, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions, test emotions, emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency

Variable	Gender	n	M	SD	T	P	95% Confidence Interval for Differences	
							Lower	Upper
Performance Approach	Male	426	18.17	1.43	-4.536	0.000* **	-0.654	-0.259
	Female	387	18.63	1.43				
Mastery Avoidance	Male	426	11.35	1.47	-0.62	0.268	-0.266	0.139
	Female	387	11.42	1.47				
Mastery Approach	Male	426	15.29	1.43	-2.782	0.003* *	-0.487	-0.084
	Female	387	15.58	1.50				
Performance Avoidance	Male	426	16.21	1.45	-5.467	0.000* **	-0.756	-0.356
	Female	387	16.77	1.44				
Class Related Emotions	Male	426	19.96	3.62	-1.921	0.028*	-0.965	0.010
	Female	387	20.44	3.45				
Learning Related Emotions	Male	426	22.92	3.44	-2.201	0.014*	-1.022	-0.058
	Female	387	23.46	3.55				
Test Emotions	Male	426	16.99	3.52	-1.873	0.035*	-0.940	0.022
	Female	387	17.45	3.45				
Emotional Exhaustion	Male	426	18.64	2.11	1.926	0.027*	-0.005	0.558
	Female	387	18.36	1.97				
Cynicism	Male	426	13.23	1.57	4.563	0.000* **	0.283	0.710
	Female	387	12.73	1.52				
Academic Efficacy	Male	426	37.20	2.48	2.848	0.003* *	0.157	0.852
	Female	387	36.70	2.57				

The level of performance approach, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions are significantly higher in female students

than that of male students while the level of emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency are significantly higher in male students than that of female students.

Table 3. t-test for Nuclear and Joint Family System

Mean, Standard Deviation and t- value for the score of nuclear family system (n=353) and joint family system(n=460) on the scale of performance approach, mastery avoidance, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions, test emotions, emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficacy

Variable	Family System	n	M	SD	T	P	95% Confidence Interval for Differences	
							Lower	Upper
Performance Approach	Nuclear	353	18.62	1.44	4.073	0.000***	0.214	0.613
	Joint	460	18.21	1.43				
Mastery Avoidance	Nuclear	353	11.35	1.44	-0.483	0.325	-0.254	0.1537
	Joint	460	11.40	1.49				
Mastery Approach	Nuclear	353	15.46	1.48	0.533	0.292	-0.146	0.261
	Joint	460	15.40	1.45				
Performance Avoidance	Nuclear	353	16.78	1.44	5.317	0.000***	0.344	0.747
	Joint	460	16.24	1.46				
Class Related Emotions	Nuclear	353	20.55	3.39	2.550	0.006**	0.147	1.127
	Joint	460	19.92	3.63				
Learning Related Emotions	Nuclear	353	23.32	3.59	0.982	0.163	-0.243	0.730
	Joint	460	23.07	3.43				
Test Emotions	Nuclear	353	17.26	3.41	0.366	0.353	-0.395	0.576
	Joint	460	17.17	3.55				
Emotional Exhaustion	Nuclear	353	18.29	1.92	-2.627	0.005**	-0.663	-0.096
	Joint	460	18.67	2.13				
Cynicism	Nuclear	353	12.73	1.54	-4.281	0.000***	-0.685	-0.254
	Joint	460	13.20	1.56				
Academic Efficacy	Nuclear	353	36.77	2.59	-1.880	0.032*	-0.689	0.013
	Joint	460	37.11	2.48				

The level of performance approach, performance avoidance and class related emotions are significantly higher in students belongs to nuclear family system than that of joint family system while the level of emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency are significantly higher in students belongs to joint family system than that of others.

Table 4. t-test for Public and Private Students

Mean, Standard Deviation and t- value for the score of public school (n=261) and private school (n=552) on the scale of performance approach, mastery avoidance, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class related emotions, learning related emotions, test emotions, emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency

Variable	School	n	M	SD	T	P	95% Confidence Interval for Differences	
							Lower	Upper
Performance Approach	Public	261	18.02	1.38	-4.984	0.000***	-0.746	-0.324
	Private	552	18.56	1.45				
Mastery Avoidance	Public	261	11.28	1.47	-1.323	0.093	-0.362	0.071
	Private	552	11.43	1.47				
Mastery Approach	Public	261	15.25	1.43	-2.364	0.009*	-0.475	-0.044
	Private	552	15.51	1.48				
Performance Avoidance	Public	261	16.41	1.47	-0.827	0.204	-0.309	0.126
	Private	552	16.51	1.48				
Class Related Emotions	Public	261	19.50	3.57	-3.872	0.000***	-1.540	-0.504
	Private	552	20.52	3.48				
Learning Related Emotions	Public	261	22.86	3.51	-1.802	0.036*	-0.989	0.042
	Private	552	23.33	3.49				
Test Emotions	Public	261	16.80	3.52	-2.284	0.012*	-1.112	-0.084
	Private	552	17.40	3.47				
Emotional Exhaustion	Public	261	18.95	2.04	4.304	0.000***	0.356	0.954
	Private	552	18.30	2.02				
Cynicism	Public	261	13.79	1.38	10.67	0.000***	0.961	1.394
	Private	552	12.62	1.51				
Academic Efficiency	Public	261	38.33	1.99	11.363	0.000***	1.662	2.356
	Private	552	36.32	2.51				

The level of performance approach, mastery approach, class related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions are significantly higher in students studying in public school than that of private school while the level of emotional exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency are significantly higher in students studying in private school than that of students studying in public school.

Discussion

The present study meant to examine the factors related to school burn out among high school students in South Punjab In accordance with our hypothesis and past research (e.g., Aguilar-

Bustamante, 2013; Boada-Grau et al., 2015; Schaufeli et al., 2002), our discoveries affirmed a three-factor model of the school burnout measure (including exhaustion- cynicism, and academic efficacy sub-scales), the achievement goals instrument (containing mastery-approach, mastery-avoidance, Performance-approach, and Performance-avoidance sub-scales) and the achievement emotions (involving class-related, learning-related, and test-related emotions). This outcome found that the distinguishing proof of three valid and reliable tests estimating the components of burnout, achievement goals, and achievement emotions individually - empowers future research on these builds and their mutual communications among Pakistani high school students. Second, with regards to the literature (Schaufeli et al., 2002) we found that all the explored variables (i.e., students' dimensions of school burnout, achievement goals, and achievement emotions) showed the normal correlation designs, with a negative relationship between high achievement goals, high achievement emotions, and school burnout. Besides, these correlation designs were affirmed for both female and male students. Discoveries uncovered that females are higher in the dimension of performance approach, mastery approach, performance avoidance, class-related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions when contrasted with males and males are higher in enthusiastic depletion, cynicism, and academic productivity. This might be because of cultural issues in light of the fact that in Pakistani culture girls have less chances to take an interest in social and recreational exercises in this way for the most part females substantiate themselves through high performance in the study. Though young men face passionate depletion and cynicism since they generally draw in outdoor activities and furthermore compelled to concentrate on procuring while at the same time studying because of low socio-economic status. These outcomes are in accordance with past discoveries showing that emotionally withdrawn students experience the highest dimension of school burnout (Nolen-Hoeksema & Girgus, 1994). Conversely, highly drawn in students who are solid in all components of achievement goals and emotions exhibit the least burnout (Chow, et.al, 2015; Humensky et al., 2010; Shahar et al., 2006; Verboom et al., 2014; Wang & Eccles, 2013). Discoveries additionally demonstrate that on the family side students from nuclear family frameworks have higher in performance approach, performance avoidance and class-related emotions while students from the joint family framework were higher in enthusiastic depletion, cynicism, and academic effectiveness. This is additionally because of cultural and social factors in light of the fact that in the joint family framework in Pakistan there are numerous family issues and worries that influence the students mental prosperity and academic performance. On people in general and private school, correlation results uncovered that students from government funded schools have a higher dimension of performance approach, mastery approach, class-related emotions, learning related emotions and test emotions when contrasted with students of private schools. This is because of that most state funded schools have exacting checking frameworks and proper science and PC labs when contrasted with private schools then again students from private schools were high in passionate fatigue, cynicism, and academic productivity in light of the fact that most private schools have no certified resources, PC and science labs and for the most part private schools enlisted non proficient educators.

The effects of school burnout

The key finding demonstrate that every one of the elements of achievement goals (Performance approach, mastery avoidance, mastery approach, performance avoidance) and achievement emotions (class related emotions, learning related emotions and test related emotions) are decidedly correlated with one another while the negatively correlated with every one of the components of burnout (passionate exhaustion, cynicism, and academic efficiency). In line with past examinations (Wang et al., 2015), the ebb and flow inquire about recommends that understudy burnout employs an immediate and negative impact on achievement goals and achievement emotions to such an extent that students with higher dimensions of burnout acquire lower dimensions of achievement goals

and emotions. As indicated by past research, burned out students are bound to show high dimensions of absenteeism, poor motivation to do the required course work, higher dropout rates, etc (Meier and Schmeck, 1985) prompts students to progressively take part in maladjusted behavior. In addition, a confused example of study, an inactive approach to learning assignments and a low dimension of reflection and arranging are for the most part factors known to negatively influence learning outcomes, which have every now and again been seen in understudy population with low normal evaluations (Moè, Cornoldi, 1998; Proctor, Prevatt, Adams, Hurst, and Petscher, 2006). In the present study, we assessed students' achievement goals by additionally estimating their mean rate of burnout. As we would see it, burnout disorder at school is a type of experiencing which students endeavor to ensure themselves by receiving various types of methodology. Among these, cynicism is a standout amongst the most negative behaviors that students can take part in, given that it diminishes their instructors' chances to address their developing separation from school life.

Interestingly, school burnout additionally influences understudy achievement in a roundabout way by means of class related and learning related emotions and commitment to school life. In particular, burnout has a negatively impact on understudy's achievement goals and achievement emotions, implying that students' exhaustion, cynicism, and feeling of deficiency lead to an expansion in their low dimension of achievement goals and emotions. These outcomes got with Pakistani students are in accordance with past discoveries from different nations around the globe (Aguilar-Bustamante and Riaño-Hernandez, 2013; Boada-Grau et al., 2015; Merino, Delgado, and Caballero, 2013; Moyano and Riaño-Hernández, 2013). At the end of the day, when students are emotionally depleted from adapting to the occasions of school life, they will be progressively inclined to feel emotionally exhausted, spent, peevish, disappointed, or even exhausted in connection to life all in all (Salmela-Aro et al., 2009b).

Besides, students' level of burnout additionally applied a backhanded impact on their achievement, for this situation through commitment. With regards to existing investigations, this outcome upheld the hypothesis that burnout is a condition of enduring that can dissolve singular assets, for example, commitment. As a rule, an unevenness between the requests of school and students' assets represents a basic risk to students' prosperity (Demerouti et al., 2001).

The effects of school engagement on school achievement

As the main positive measurement dissected in the present study, high achievement goals and emotions showed the possibility to intervene with the negative impacts of burnout. In accordance with prior investigations, we credit this outcome to the way that students with high achievement goals and achievement emotions have more assets for adapting to unpleasant school occasions. They have more vitality to put resources into new and testing errands, are bound to encounter retention in class or individual work, and are progressively diligent in seeking after and finishing assignments. Building such assets is a long haul process that draws on numerous components of positive experience (Eccles, 2004; Roeser, et.al, 2000) and may make students less slanted to embrace playing hooky as an adapting technique. Besides, the school life of students who steadily go to class is probably going to be upgraded by new animating encounters, which thusly may, in the long run, decrease their burnout. Various creators (Holfve-Sabel, 2014; Lawson & Askill-Williams, 2011; Lendrum, et.al, 2013) have detailed that positive involvement with school, for example, learning fascinating new themes with importance to their own life or accepting consolation to grasp another test, improves students' feeling of amplexity at school, just as their confidence and efficacy. Then again, students who play hooky since they can't discover any motivation to visit or so as to keep away from difficult emotions experienced at school, are expanded danger of maladjustment. This is especially valid for students from impeded social settings. In an ongoing study on academic burnout among

Korean students, Kim et al. (2015) discovered proof that higher starting dimensions of cynicism and academic inefficacy are related with lower rates of progress in passionate exhaustion after some time. This proposes when students are negative about their investigations, this mitigates their sentiments of exhaustion yet runs inseparably with sentiments of inefficacy. All the more explicitly, passionate separation (remove) from school and exhaustion may convert into de-motivation and sentiments of frailty, which thusly can negatively influence academic performance and at last reason students to drop out (Wang et al., 2015; Deci and Ryan, 2008; Fall and Roberts, 2012; Im et al., 2016). For these reasons, while observing outcomes as far as students' evaluations, it might be valuable to likewise consider absenteeism behavior as a roundabout measure of students' withdrawal from their schoolwork, just as a noteworthy indicator of their later inclination to drop out of school. Moreover, it might be of an incentive to investigate students' passionate approach to school and how this may influence their learning outcomes and the likelihood of dropping out along their instructive direction.

Future directions, implications and limitations

Interestingly with our expectations and past research discovering (Salmela Aro et al., 2008; Boada-Grau et al., 2015; Schaufeli et al., 2002), gender has any effect to the Pakistani high school students' burnout; conversely, it straightforwardly and fundamentally influenced their school achievement. This is an issue that requires further investigation and thought. Generally speaking, our point in the ebb and flow study was to add to the developing field of research on instructive and academic prosperity. Advancing youngsters' prosperity assumes a key job in the avoidance of understudy burnout. Students' burnout, achievement goals, and achievement emotions are altogether influenced by educators backing to their endeavors. The test is to distinguish the indications of school maladjustment at a beginning time so as to avert later burnout and to discover methods for encouraging dynamic commitment openings, specifically for those students who are more in danger of learning or motivational issues. Educators assume a key job in molding youngsters' commitment in training through the passionate, instructional, and authoritative help implanted in classroom forms. In this manner, understudy burnout must be held within proper limits with the end goal of improving students' general prosperity just as their academic achievement. Late school programs executed in light of these goals have shown that psychological well-being advancement activities in schools can have a positive effect (e.g., Askell-Williams and Lawson, 2015; Durlak et al., 2011; van Uden, Ritzen, and Pieters, 2014) as far as additions in members' social and passionate abilities, frames of mind, behavior and academic performance. By the by, in our view, issues influencing students, for example, the feeling of deficiency in connection to school, poor self-efficacy and cynicism ought to be managed from an expansive point of view that regards learning and showing forms as mutually related, instead of thinking about learning in disconnection as an understudy focused occasion (Greenberg, 2010). Our study had a few impediments that ought to be considered in future research. To begin with, it utilized a cross-sectional structure and depended on self-report measures. Moreover, the examination was led in South Punjab a zone of an area, implying that alert is required in summing up the outcomes to school settings in different nations. Line up research ought to be directed with a bigger example just as with educators report measures so as to constrain the social attractive quality reaction inclination in self-report study. At last, more detail on students' experience qualities may be gathered so as to all the more completely clarify immediate and roundabout impacts among the examined variables.

References

- Aguilar-Bustamante, M., & Riaño-Hernandez, D. (2013). Propiedades psicométricas del School Burnout Inventory SBI en población colombiana adolescente [Psychometric properties of the School Burnout Inventory SBI in teenage Colombian population]. Paper presented at the 34th interamerican congress of psychology.
- Andrews, B., & Wilding, J. M. (2004). Student mental health: Life stress and achievement. *British Journal of Psychology*, 95, 509–521.
- Askell-Williams, H., & Lawson, M. J. (2015). Relationships between students' mental health and their perspectives of life at school. *Health Education*, 115(3/4), 249–268.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2006). The Job Demands-Resources model: State of art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>.
- Bask, M., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2012). Burned out to drop out: Exploring the relationship between school burnout and school dropout. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10212-012-0126-5>.
- Boada-Grau, J., Merino-Tejedor, E., Sánchez-García, J.-C., Prizmic-Kuzmica, A.-J., & Vigil-Colet, A. (2015). Adaptation and psychometric properties of the SBI-U scale for Academic Burnout in university students. *Anales De Psicología*, 31(1), 290–297.
- Chow, C. M., Tan, C. C., & Buhrmester, D. (2015). Interdependence of depressive symptoms, school involvement, and academic performance between adolescent friends: A dyadic analysis. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85(3), 316–331.
- Covington, M. (2000). Goal theory, motivation, and school achievement: An integrative review. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51, 171–200.
- Cury, F., Elliot, A. J., Da Fonseca, D. D., & Moller, A. C. (2006). The social-cognitive model of achievement motivation and the 2 × 2 achievement goal framework. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 90, 666–679.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Facilitating optimal motivation and psychological well-being across life's domains. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*, 49(1), 14–23.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., De Jonge, J., Janssen, P. P. M., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). Burnout and engagement at work as a function of demands and control. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 27, 279–286.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 499–512.
- Di Chiacchio, C., De Stasio, S., & Fiorilli, C. (2016). Examining how motivation toward science contributes to omitting behaviours in the Italian PISA 2006 sample. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 50, 56–63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2016.06.025>.
- Diener, E. (1999). Introduction to the special section on the structure of emotion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(5), 803–804.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A metaanalysis of schoolbased universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
- Eccles, J. S. (2004). Schools, academic motivation, and stage-Environment fit. In R. M. Lerner, & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (pp. 125–153). (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

- Elliot, A. J. (2005). A conceptual history of the achievement goal construct. In A. J. Elliot & C. S. Dweck (Eds.), *Handbook of competence and motivation* (pp. 52–72). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Fall, A. M., & Roberts, G. (2012). High school dropouts: Interactions between social context, self-perceptions, school engagement, and student dropout. *Journal of Adolescence*, 35(4), 787–798.
- Fiorilli, C., Galimberti, V., De Stasio, S., Di Chiacchio, C., & Albanese, O. (2014). School Burnout Inventory with Italian students of high school. *Psicologia clinica dello sviluppo*, 18(3), 403–424.
- Gabriele, A. J. (2007). The influence of achievement goals on the constructive activity of low achievers during collaborative problem solving. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77, 121–141.
- Greenberg, M. T. (2010). School-based prevention: current status and future challenges. *Effective Education*, 2(1), 27–52.
- Holfve-Sabel, M.-A. (2014). Learning, interaction and relationships as components of student well-being: Differences between classes from student and teacher perspective. *Social Indicators Research*, 119(3), 1535–1555.
- Humensky, J., Kuwabara, S. A., Fogel, J., Wells, C., Goodwin, B., & Van Voorhees, B. W. (2010). Adolescents with depressive symptoms and their challenges with learning in school. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 26, 377–392.
- Im, M. H., Hughes, J. N., & West, S. G. (2016). Effect of trajectories of friends' and parents' school involvement on adolescents' engagement and achievement. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jora.12247>.
- Kaplan, A., & Maehr, M. L. (2007). The contribution and prospects of goal orientation theory. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2007, 141–184.
- Karabenick, S. A. (2003). Seeking help in large college classes: A person-centered approach. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 28, 37–58.
- Kim, B., Lee, M., Kim, K., Choi, H., & Lee, S. M. (2015). Longitudinal analysis of academic burnout in Korean middle school students? *Stress and Health*, 31(4), 281–289.
- Kiuru, N., Aunola, K., Nurmi, J.-E., Leskinen, E., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2009). Peer group influence and selection in adolescents' school burnout: A longitudinal study. *MerrillPalmer Quarterly*, 54(1), 23–55.
- Kwak, S. (2006). A structural equation modeling analysis of adolescents' school adjustments to academic performances: A longitudinal study. *The Korean Journal of Sociology of Education*, 16, 1–26.
- Lawson, M. J., & Askill-Williams, H. (2011). Constructing high quality learning in social emotional education programs. In R. Shute (Ed.), *Mental health and wellbeing: Educational perspectives* (pp. 249–260). Adelaide: Shannon Research Press.
- Lazarus, R. (1994). The stable and the unstable in emotion. In P. Ekman, & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The nature of emotion: Fundamental questions* (pp. 79-85). New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lendrum, A., Humphrey, N., & Wigelsworth, M. (2013). Social and emotional aspects of learning (SEAL) for secondary schools: Implementation difficulties and their implications for school based mental health promotion. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 18(3), 158–164.
- Liem, A. D., & Prasetya, P. H. (2006). The role of values and individually and socially related orientations in predicting achievement goals and academic performance among Indonesian students. In Paper presented in the symposium “social dimensions of achievement motivation:

- Southeast Asian research”, XXVI International Congress of Applied Psychology, Athens, Greece.
- Liem, A. D., Lau, S., & Nie, Y. (2008). The role of self-efficacy, task value, and achievement goals in predicting learning strategies, task disengagement, peer relationship, and achievement outcome. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 33, 486–512.
- Lonka, K., Olkinuora, E., & Mäkinen, J. (2004). Aspects and prospects of measuring studying and learning in higher education. *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(4), 301-325.
- Mega, C., Ronconi, L., & De Beni, R. (2014). What makes a good student? How emotions, self-regulated learning, and motivation contribute to academic achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 106(1), 121e131.
- Meier, S. F., & Schmeck, R. R. (1985). The burned-out college student: A descriptive profile. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1, 63–69.
- Merino, C., Delgado, A., & Caballero, R. (2013). ¿Burnout en adolescentes? Validez estructural del inventario de burnout escolar (SBI) [Burnout in adolescents: Structural validity School Burnout Inventory (SBI)]. Paper presented at the 34th interamerican congress of psychology.
- Midgley, C., Kaplan, A., & Middleton, M. (2001). Performance-approach goals: Good for what, for whom, under what circumstances, and at what cost? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93, 77–86.
- Moè, A., Cornoldi, C., & De Beni, R. (1998). Incoerenza strategica, metodo di studio e insuccesso scolastico. *Archivio Di Psicologia, Neurologia e Psichiatria*, 1, 567–576.
- Moyano, N., & Riaño-Hernández, D. (2013). Burnout escolar en adolescentes españoles: Adaptación y validación del School Burnout Inventory [School burnout in Spanish adolescents: Adaptation and validation of School Burn-out Inventory]. *Ansiedad y Estrés*, 19, 95–103.
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Girgus, J. S. (1994). The emergence of gender differences in depression during adolescence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 115, 424–443.
- Op't Eynde, P., & Turner, J. E. (2006). Focusing on the complexity of emotion issues in academic learning: A dynamical component system approach. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(4), 361-376.
- Parker, P. D., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2011). Developmental processes in school burnout: A comparison of major developmental models. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(2), 244–248. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2011.01.005>.
- Pekrun, R. (2005). Progress and open problems in educational emotion research. *Learning and Instruction*, 15(5), 497-506.
- Pekrun, R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(4), 315e341
- Pekrun, R., & Stephens, E. J. (2012). Academic emotions. In *APA educational psychology handbook, vol 2: Individual differences and cultural and contextual factors* (Vol. 2, pp. 3e31). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Pekrun, R., Elliot, A. J., & Maier, M. A. (2009). Achievement goals and achievement emotions: Testing of a model of their joint relations to academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101, 115–135.
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Barchfeld, P., & Perry, R. (2011). Measuring emotions in students' learning and performance: The achievement emotions questionnaire (AEQ). *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(1), 36e48

- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titz, W., & Perry, R. (2002). Academic emotions in students' self-regulated learning and achievement: A program of qualitative and quantitative research. *Educational psychologist, 37*(2), 91-105.
- Pintrich, P. R., Conley, A. M., & Kempler, T. M. (2003). Current issues in achievement goal theory and research. *International Journal of Educational Research, 39*, 319–337.
- Pomerantz, E. M., Altermatt, E. R., & Saxon, J. L. (2002). Making the grade but feeling distressed: Gender differences in academic performance and internal distress. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 94*, 396–404.
- Proctor, B. E., Prevatt, F., Adams, K., Hurst, A., & Petscher, Y. (2006). Study skills profiles of normal-achieving and academically struggling college students. *Journal of College Student Development, 47*, 37–51.
- Pugh, K. J., & Bergin, D. A. (2006). Motivational influences on transfer. *Educational Psychologist, 41*, 147–160.
- Reiseberg, L. (2000). Student stress is rising, especially among young women. *Chronicle of Higher Education, 46*, 49–50.
- Robotham, D. (2008). Stress among higher education students: Towards a research agenda. *Higher Education, 56*, 735–746.
- Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. (2000). School as a context of early adolescents' academic and social-emotional development: A summary of research findings. *The Elementary School Journal, 100*(5), 443–471.
- Salmela-Aro, K., & Tynkkynen, L. (2012). Gendered pathways in school burnout among adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence, 35*(4), 929–939. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.01.001>.
- Salmela-Aro, K., & Upadaya, K. (2012). The schoolwork engagement inventory. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 28*(1), 60–67.
- Salmela-Aro, K., & Upadyaya, K. (2014). School burnout and engagement in the context of demands-resources model. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 84*, 137–151.
- Salmela Aro, K., Kiuru, N., & Nurmi, J. E. (2008). The role of educational track in adolescents' school burnout: A longitudinal study. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 78*(4), 663–689. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/000709908X281628>.
- Salmela-Aro, K., Kiuru, N., Leskinen, E., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2009). School burnout inventory (SBI) - Reliability and validity. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 25*(1), 48–57.
- Salmela-Aro, K., Savolainen, H., & Holopainen, L. (2009). Depressive symptoms and school burnout during adolescence: Evidence from two cross-lagged longitudinal studies. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 38*, 1316–1327.
- Salmela-Aro, K., Savolainen, H., & Holopainen, L. (2009b). Depressive symptoms and school burnout during adolescence: Evidence from two cross-lagged longitudinal studies. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 38*(10), 1316–1327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10964-008-9334-3>.
- Salmela-Aro, K., Tolvanen, A., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2009). Achievement strategies during university studies predict early career burnout and engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 75*(2), 162–172.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Martinez, I., Marques-Pinto, A., Salanova, M. E., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). Burnout and engagement in university students: A cross national study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 33*, 464–481.
- Schunk, D. H., Pintrich, P. R., & Meece, J. (2008). *Motivation in education: Theory, research, and application*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

- Shahar, G., Henrich, C. C., Winokur, A., Blatt, S. J., Kuperminc, G. P., & Leadbeater, B. J. (2006). Self criticism and depressive symptomatology interact to predict middle school academic achievement. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 62(1), 147–155.
- Sideridis, G. D. (2005). Classroom goal structures and hopelessness as predictors of day-to-day experience at school: Differences between students with and without learning disabilities. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 43, 308–328.
- Tinto, V. (2007). Research and practice of student retention: What next? *Journal of College Student Retention*, 8, 1–19.
- Urduan, T. (2004). Predictors of academic self-handicapping and achievement: Examining achievement goals, classroom goal structures and culture. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 251–264.
- van Uden, J. M., Ritzen, H., & Pieters, J. M. (2014). Engaging students: The role of teacher beliefs and interpersonal teacher behavior in fostering student engagement in vocational education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 37, 21–32.
- Vasalampi, K., Salmela-Aro, K., & Nurmi, J.-E. (2009). Adolescents' self-Concordance, school engagement, and burnout predict their educational trajectories. *European Psychologist*, 14(4), 332–341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.14.4.332>.
- Verboom, C. E., Sijtsema, J. J., Verhulst, F. C., Penninx, B. W., & Ormel, J. (2014). Longitudinal associations between depressive problems, academic performance: And social functioning in adolescent boys and girls. *Developmental Psychology*, 50, 247–257.
- Villavicencio, F. T., & Bernardo, A. B. I. (2013). Positive academic emotions moderate the relationship between self-regulation and academic achievement. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83(2), 329e340.
- Wang, M. T., & Eccles, J. S. (2013). School context, achievement motivation, and academic engagement: A longitudinal study of school engagement using a multidimensional perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 28, 12–23.
- Wang, M.-T., Chow, A., Hofkens, K., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2015). The Trajectories of Student Emotional Engagement and School Burnout with Academic and Psychological Development: Findings from Finnish Adolescents. *Learning and Instruction*, 35, 57–65.
- Watkins, D., McInerney, D. M., Akande, A., & Lee, C. (2003). An investigation of ethnic differences in the motivation and strategies for learning of students in desegregated South African schools. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 34, 189–194.
- Watkins, D., McInerney, D., Lee, C., Akande, A., & Regmi, M. (2002). Motivation and learning strategies: A cross-cultural perspective. In D. M. McInerney & S. V. Etten (Eds.), *Research on sociocultural influences on motivation and learning* (pp. 329–343). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Wolters, C. A. (2004). Advancing achievement goal theory: Using goal structures and goal orientations to predict students' motivation, cognition, and achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96, 236–250.