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Abstract
This article is aimed at studying changes in models and discussing and comparing the states of these changes and their advantages. Today, industry and occupation need a costing system to meet their own needs. Costing experts believe that designing a costing system is only possible by fulfilling all its needs. On this basis, they developed different costing models for different industries according to their structures and natures. The most fundamental method used for costing was traditional costing which utilizes only one cost driver for allocating overhead and caused the obtained cost price to have a great difference with the real cost price. The costing system based on Activity Based Costing (ABC\(^1\)) was designed by Cooper and Kaplan to remove the shortcomings of traditional costing system and to allocate a cost driver suitable to any activity comparing with traditional system and to calculate the cost price according to it. However, Activity Based Costing model is problematic to some extent for organizations because of high costs of interviewing people, using subjective and expensive approaches for evaluating time allocations and protection difficulties and updating. Then, Time Driven Activity Based Costing (TDABC\(^2\)) was introduced which calculates the costs based on time driver and is simpler and less expensive than Activity Based Costing model. In addition to solving some of the difficulties of ABC, this model can measure unused capacity and help managers evaluate the function of different departments. However, because of great emphasis that this system puts on "time" and due to some limitations, Namazi introduced Performance Focused Activity Based Costing system (PFABC\(^3\)) which has caused more flexibility in allocating costs to activities by selecting different cost drivers. This model which is an integration of Activity Based Costing and Time Driven Activity Based Costing undertakes costing during 8 stages, but the ambiguity and uncertainty in costing systems create some problems for Performance Focused Activity Based Costing.
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Introduction
In recent decades, the development of information technology has caused a remarkable progress in gathering and interchanging cost information in organizations. Along with these changes, accounting as an important part of an information system has encountered similar changes. In fact, cost information and cost price by accounting information system are used as an instrument by managers for planning, controlling and evaluating the operations. These systems can help managers in performing their duties when they provide them with reliable and correct information.

---

\(^1\) Activity Based Costing
\(^2\) Time Driven Activity Based Costing
\(^3\) Performance Focused Activity Based Costing
Therefore, in selecting costing systems for calculating the cost price of products and services, managers should pay special attention. The existence of changes in trade environment has caused some problems in costing system and has evolved and updated them according to the present needs. Based on these changes, traditional costing system was replaced by Activity Based Costing system so that this system could remove the existing faults in traditional costing system. This system has improved traditional system to a great extent, but because of the high costs of interview, performance, and updating it made researchers think of creating a new system to remove the above faults and the obtained information become more exact and correct and help managerial decisions more than before and made Time Driven Activity Based Costing come to existence which used time driver to allocate costs to activities. In spite of advantages, this system has some disadvantages the most important of which was the great emphasis on "time" and this caused the introduction of Performance Focused Activity Based Costing.

**Traditional Costing System**

In this system, the costs of direct material and direct payment are directly allocated to the production. Productive and non-productive overheads have also been considered as direct cost and allocated to production by using the pre-assigned overhead rate. In traditional costing system, based on the volume of cost price, each product consists of the sum of the costs of direct material, direct labor, and the allocated construction overhead. (Shabahang.r, 2012).

The most popular used basis for allocating overhead in determining the type of costing is direct labor hours. To calculate the overhead rate, the sum of the budgeted construction overhead is divided by the sum of the direct labor hours or any other basis such as machine labor hours. The basic difficulty of this system is that it doesn’t give exact information about the cost price of products and services to decision makers and even by giving wrong information it causes managers to make mistakes in their decisions and this system cannot be used as a reference for managerial decisions. Also, in this system the costs of overhead between productions are common. Therefore, some of the products, according to their production cost, have a greater share in allocating the overhead. For example, if the construction overhead is allocated according to the direct labor hours, the products to which have been allocated more direct labor hours will attract more overhead costs (Tadbir Periodical). In the whole, irrelevant costs of the product unit and the variety of products are two important factors which have not been considered in traditional costing system. This costing system is focused more on production cost whereas for marketing and sale costs and other costs no shares are allocated to production. Traditional systems consider the obtained advantages of changing the processes and improving the methods as saving money in labor force. Therefore, they do not show the improvement of functions in operational processes. Using a common basis and unit for allocating costs such as direct labor hours of human force is considered as another shortcoming of this type of costing. Because by developing the technology, the rate of human force engaging in labor process has decreased to a great extent. These shortcomings caused the experts to think of designing a new costing system that can remove the shortcomings of traditional system.

In traditional system, the cost price of product is calculated as follow:

1- Tracing: allocating direct material and direct payment to products and services.
2- Allocating overhead costs to products or services based on a definite attraction rate.
3- Calculating the cost price of products. (Gahramani, 2008)

In an economically stable time, little attention is paid to effectiveness, but in hard time, it become essential. Therefore, reassessment of activities and reform, caused by the recent financial crises, aim to save money and improve work performance in the public, as well as in the private sector. Public sector organizations may have less incentive for efficiency, and this is related to the
principle of budgetary control. Indeed, a public organization’s budget does not depend on the efficiency and performance of the organization. Because of this lack of control, public sector organizations were seldom interested in saving their budgetary funds. If financially effective and economized, this may well have resulted a lower budget for the next year (Riinkont.K, Jantson.S, 2011).

Today, in the present social and economic industry, paying attention to productivity and efficiency is considered as a necessity. Taking in to consideration the great change in technology and presenting new methods in the early 1980s, organizations found out that they should increase the quality while decreasing the costs to survive. This subject and the existing shortcomings in traditional costing system made them revise their accounting and managerial methods. Because of the shortcomings of traditional system, a new system called Activity Based Costing system came to existence. In the whole, the most important reasons for the shortcoming of traditional system are as follows.

1- The lack of ability in presenting information about cost price especially in organizations which give various services to their customers. Since the traditional system does not consider the special features of each service in distributing the costs, the allocated costs and the costs price will be considered wrongly.

2- The lack of separating different cost domains – In traditional system, they use common cost centers to gather cost payments and overheads. This problem causes the allocation of unreal costs to the given services.

3- Using common basis and unit for allocating costs – These systems usually used a division basis to allocate different costs. One of these bases is direct human force labor hours. To consider the fact with the complexity and quick technology changes, the engaging rate of human force in labor force has decreased a lot, therefore, by using this basis, the division of cost is not really done.

4- The lack of preparation exact information about cost price and other necessary information for decision making. Traditional systems mainly divide the existing costs in organizations into two groups – Direct costs and periodic costs – and they only consider the direct costs in calculating the cost price. But in making decision they need to use both of them, direct and periodic costs. Therefore, based on traditional methods, analysis of the improvement of activities and methods for decreasing the costs won’t be possible.

5- Traditional systems consider the advantages obtained from changing the processes and the improvement of methods as saving money in labor force. Therefore, they don’t show the improvement of functions in operational processes.

6- Traditional costing systems do not show the real information about operational process and costs. These systems only consider the costs which are easily identifiable in calculating the costs price, and indirect costs don’t play any roles in calculating cost price (Tadbir).

In addition to shortcomings of traditional system, the growth of competition and the complexity of technology have intensified the necessity of using new costing systems. Since the organizations need to access correct information about costs to price products and services, It is obvious that traditional systems are not efficient enough because of their nature.

The history of Activity Based Costing

In the late 1960s and early 1970s, accounting writers pointed to the relationship between activity and cost. But in 1980s, because of the reflection of weaknesses and shortcomings of common accounting systems in giving exact cost information, this relationship was focused on more by university and occupational centers. This consideration was mainly on the basis of three basic
structures. The first structure was a new change in the world which occurred in different countries especially Japan to introduce modern technology and new productive mechanisms. The second structure was that in 1980s, the conceptual philosophy of many company managers changed a lot and in addition to productivity, competition in the world level, and the growth of customers' satisfaction, the basic goal of managers was to emphasize the quality control of products and to decrease the costs. The third structure was that some of the accounting writers explained new product situation, various technology roles, and new viewpoints to manager. They claimed that traditional systems of industrial accounting and managers are not only responsible for managers' needs but also their obtained information causes the managers to make wrong decisions. As a result, the writers tried to introduce a new system called Activity Based Costing (Carol Fia).

**Activity Based Costing System (ABC)**

Cooper and Kaplan along with Johnson (1980) have an important role in reflecting the shortcomings of management accounting system in giving exact cost information. In 1980s, Cooper and Kaplan suggested Activity Based Costing for the first time and it was used as a creative invention. ABC is used as the best known method in management accounting during several last years. In fact, in response to the need of production sector to face the dissatisfaction of using traditional costing system, this approach depends on allocating overhead to products. In the whole, it is not logical to use traditional approach in modern production environment (Alinezhad & Saviz, 2013). Today, most of remarkable costs in producing an item are not related to its volume. For instance, the costs of engineering, order processing, planning, and quality controlling, or the costs of delivering the products in time don’t relate to the production volume (Riinkont & Jantson, 2011). Activity Based Costing consist of the ability of measuring real sources used by daily activities of a company that the obtained information of this kind of costing is considered as supporting the management decision making. Activities done on the basis of costs related to each of the products are an important factor in analyzing the profitability of products (Ghahramani, 2008).

According to Cokins theory, the reason why ABC comes to existence results from three factors:

1- Structure change of the company cost price, that is to say the increase of overhead against the decrease of direct payment costs and direct material costs.
2- Reciprocal function behavior inside the trade unit.
3- The appearance of related data base and the languages of the fourth generation which makes the quick organizing of data possible.

In this system there are three basic parts:
- Sources
- Activities (Ghahramani, 2008)
- Cost subjects

![Figure 1: The structure of the Activity Based Costing system](Resources: an economic element that is required in the performance of activities)
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Cost: the monetary value of resources used or sacrificed or liabilities incurred to achieve an objective, such as to acquire or produce goods or to perform an activity or service.

Cost driver: factors that cause changes in the cost of an activity.

Resource cost driver: an indicator that helps to associate the costs of resources to corresponding activities and to distribute the costs of different resources between activities.

Activity: what we do in an organization.

Activity cost driver: a measure of the consumption of an activity by products, customers or services. Used as a basis of assigning activities to cost objects.

Cost object: an activity, output, or item, of which cost is to be measured. In a broad sense, the cost object can be an organizational division, a function, task, product, service, or a customer (Riinkont. K, Jantson. S, 2011).

Adopted approaches in this system are two staged which result in allocating over-head cost to products or production services.

First stage
1- Identify the main activities and resources which are used in each activity.
2- Allocating the overhead cost to the identified activity which is identified as accumulated cost.
3- Recognizing the cost drivers relate to each activity after allocating overhead cost to accumulated cost of the activity.

Second stage
The cost of construction overhead to each activity as to the degree of these of cost drivers in every production line is allocated (Shabahang, 2012). Activity-Based Costing is an accounting method used to trace costs to a product or process of an organization. Rather than assigning costs directly to the products, they are assigned to the activities performed by the company. Then, the costs of the products are calculated by determining how much each product uses each activity (Jurek & Bars, 2012).

One of the ABC features which distinguish it from traditional system is paying attention to the new operational phenomena and the effect of dominant technology on the existing situation. Furthermore, the kinds of price drivers and the number of price storages distinguish ABC from traditional system. Cost drivers are an agent that has a direct effect on the function of activities. In traditional system, price drivers related to the volume such as the amount of production, direct labor hours, and direct machinery hours are known as the only agent that causes the occurrence of price, but in ABC approach drivers related to activity are of great importance (Osmani & Ghasemzadeh, 2009).

Activity Based Costing system does not improve tracing the direct costs such as direct material and payment conceptually, but increases caring in allocating indirect costs that is to say overhead cost. In another word, ABC can be used to identify and remove the activities which cause the increase of the costs without making any increased value for the customers (Mehdinezhad & Seyed Alipoor, 2008). Furthermore, this system consists of product quality and costs related to flexible productions in addition to direct material and payment costs. This system uses special and various features in calculating the cost price (Moheb Alipoor & Panjomi Mahmmmodi, 2009).

These method, in calculating the cost price, apply complex, various, and specific features. A distinct feature of this method is the non-financial information to improve the performance and efficiency of activities. In addition by applying ABC method, organizational unused capacity resources can be diagnosed and decreased (Rajabi & Darabi, 2012).

It seems that in spite of a lot of advantages, ABC has two shortcomings:
The costs of interviewing and analyzing people viewpoints for the early models of ABC.

High costs of subjective time allocations and the difficulties of updating.

We can point to other ABC shortcoming such as high costs of the number of activity centers and costing factors and also the necessity of allocating some costs on the basis of volume-based contract norms.

**Simplifying Activity Based Costing**

In spite of the simplicity of ABC concept, it is complex and costing to be used by organizations. In order to solve the problems of using the Activity Based Costing model, researchers have tried to simplify it in the last two decades. According to simplified model presented by Babad and Balachandran (1993), at first an optimum upset of drivers of Activity Based Costing complete model is selected to make a balance between the costs of gathering, maintaining, and data processing and the benefit resulted from correct information. This model makes it possible for decision makers to be able to specify and limit most of the drivers in the simplified system. In this procedure, we should specify an integrated cost storage. In order to create more integrated cost centers, all of the activities related to selected cost drivers has been omitted and moved into the cost storage of selected cost drivers. Humborg developed Babad and Balachandran model. According to Humborg model, the cost of activities related to the omitted drivers is allocated to several selected cost drivers instead of allocating to one drivers of selected cost. In this model, the subset of optimum drivers are selected in a way that their information costs do not increase up to a definite level and the loss of lack of accuracy resulting from information limitation decreases. The cost storage of selected drivers consists of the cost of activity related to selected cost drivers and a share of the costs of activities related to the omitted drivers. Humborg model is a simplified model which has the same complex level in comparison with Babad and Balachandran model. Bet in comparing with it, it fives more correct product costs. Considering the fact that Humborg model presents a more correct system with less information cost, it is obvious that the simplified model of Babad and Balachandran is not an optimum one. However, in both models, it is supposed that the simplification should not result in the cost of losing the information correctness. In both models the act of simplification focuses on the decrease of the number of activities and drivers so that the cost of the information correctness resulting from the decrease of activities and incentives will reach a minimum. However, all these activities required that ABC would be done completely before being simplified. That is to say that all activities and drivers should be identified before being simplified. This kind of simplification is called the simplification after the occurrence. It is necessary for the system to be completely done before being simplified. The simplification will be of no benefit. Furthermore, when the system needs to be updated, the model should be completely updated first and then simplified which this procedure need more time and cost. Therefore, having considered the limitation of performing Activity Based Costing, researchers of accounting thought of replacing a new costing system which has an easier updating and performing and covers the Activity Based Costing shortcomings. As a result, the Time Driven Activity Based Costing (TDABC) was introduced (Soltani & Kalani, 2010).

**The history of Time Driven Activity Based Costing (TDABC)**

The origin of TDABC dates back to 1997. This model was used by Steven Anderson and his company as Acron Systems. Before 2001, the company of Acron Systems introduced this model with the name of Activity Based on Transactions. In 2001, Kaplan joined the board of directors of Acron Company and cooperated in developing this procedure. The result of this cooperation was to
present the theory of Time Driven Activity Based Costing which simplifies costing process by omitting staff interview stages and allocation the source cost to activities (Soltani & Kalani, 2010).

**Time Driven Activity Based Costing (TDABC)**

This approach is mainly based on using time driver and its performing method is very different from performing stages of common method of Activity Based Costing (ABC). Time Driven Activity Based Costing contrary to ABC system does not identify the activities and allocates related costs to activities first, but in this approach, the management anticipates the necessary sources for each cost subject (goods, services, customers) directly. Instead of specifying the time of presenting questionnaire to them, they determine the cost resources based on time equation and allocate them to activities and operations performed directly and automatically. This model specifies the first stage of Activity Based Costing to allocate costs of resources to activities and introduces time equation to avoid complex and various transactions and summarize the time equations which an activity is done in a process. Therefore the focus of this approach (TDABC) is on processes rather than activities and this matter makes the system more controllable (Jurek & Bars, 2012).

This method identifies the capacity of each department or process and allocates the cost of this capacity of resource groups over the cost object based on the time required to perform an activity. If the demand for work in these departments or processes declines, TDABC can estimate the quantity of resources released. TDABC captures the different characteristic of an activity by time equations in which the time consumed by an activity is a function of different characteristics. This equation assigns the time and the cost of the activity to the cost object based on characteristics of each object (Rodriguez & Nasiri, 2012).

Although TDABC is an attractive and simple approach, it is powerful in costing processes of a commercial unit which prepares comprehensive reports of profit and loss for the most complex organizations. This model is simpler and less expensive than ABC and its performing is faster. The simplicity of this model results from the fact that only two parameters for every bureau should be evaluated.

1- The cost rate of each capacity unit.
2- The using rate of the capacity (different times for each driver) by activities that the organization does to produce and present services. The using rate of capacity by activities means the amount of capacity that each transaction, product, or customer uses (Beshkoh & Kazemi, as cited in Monory & Nasiri, 2012.).

   a) estimating the capacity cost rate

To describe the capacity rate of each unit, two evaluations should be done. At first, supplied capacity cost which is the whole costs of a bureau is calculated and then the practical capacity of supplied resources will be obtained through dividing the whole costs of each bureau by practical capacity.

\[
\text{Capacity cost rate} = \frac{\text{Supplied Capacity Costs}}{\text{Practical Capacity of Supply Resources}}
\]

To describe practical supplied capacity the following cases should be considered:
1 –considering the practical capacity equal to 80% to 90% of nominal capacity.
2 –Using analytic approach: in this approach nominal optimum capacity is considered and then hours for staff relaxation are subtracted.

To estimate the supplied capacity cost some items such as the cost of indirect payment and other indirect supporting costs should be considered.

   b) determining the rate of capacity use
In common Activity Based Costing approach; the costs of activity based on transaction drivers such as the number of machinery fixing times, customer orders, the number of production times, receiving the material and delivering the products to customers are allocated to the cost subject. However today, many systems use enterprise resource planning system. This system presents transaction data such as order headline, customer identity, order details, material lists and quality details, losses and other important structures related to each order along with other details quickly and completely. Based on the above conditions and under the enterprise resource planning system, Time Driven Activity Based Costing (TDABC) can do better than Activity Based Costing system (ABC) (Alinezhad & Saviz, 2013). In this system after determining the rate capacity cost rate in bureau, management should determine the rate of capacity consumption in each transaction for each activity. In this approach the goal is not to determine the exact time but the time approximation for predicting the model is sufficient. Therefore, in this approach, it is not necessary to use field study related to the time percent allocated to related activities. TDABC model can be carried out as follows:

First stage: evaluation the costs of different practiced capacity resource which every resource provides such as:
1- Identifying the resource groups which perform the activities.
2- Evaluating the cost of each resource group.
3- Evaluating the practical capacity of each resource group.
4- Calculating the cost of each supplied capacity unit for each resource group through dividing the total cost of each resource.

Second stage: evaluating the time necessary for different activities including:
1- Identifying the factors that affect the time of doing each activity. (time drivers)
2- Making time equations which show the dependence of the time of doing the activity to all agents.

Third stage: multiplying the cost of each supplied capacity unit of each group of resources by the time of doing each activity (Soltani & Kalani, 2010).

The advantages of TDABC
1- Constructing an exact model is made quicker and easier.
2- Having a good conforming with the data which are available in enterprise resource planning system. This (matter) makes the system more dynamic and at the same time less dependent on human force.
3- It moves the cost to activities and order by using the characteristics of orders, processes, suppliers, and customers.
4- It can be used monthly.
5- It creates transparency in using the capacity and proficiency of processes that is to say it determines the extra capacity.
6- It anticipates the demand rate of necessary resources and provides the possible budgeting for capacity resources based the anticipated order rate for the companies.
7- It conforms to the existing model using software and technology of information banks easily.
8- It’s possible to maintain a quick and less expensive model.
9- It provides clear information for users in identifying the difficulty originally.
10- It’s used in every industry or company with different complexity about customers, products, units, and processes.
The disadvantages of TDABC

1- Correct and reliable drivers are not available. If reliable, correct, and exact data are not available, Time Driven Activity Based Costing causes more problems rather than solving problems. If the data obtained are of a reliable system such as demand survey automatic systems and are updated regularly, they will be infallible. But if they are out of date or based on inexact evaluation, they will result in basic errors. Maybe the difference between the evaluation of carrying one kilo of material to storage in four minutes or four minutes plus ten seconds won’t be remarkable. But when it is supposed to carry 100,000 kilos of material into storage we will get a remarkable number.

2- Differences in time drivers: when time drivers for each transaction are available, Time Driven Activity Based Costing can be used for calculating each case separately for example, the parts that take 8 minutes to be carried into a storage will attract costs double in comparison with those which take four hours, but if a complete order is received and the time necessary for doing it is calculated by an inexperienced person, the cost price allocated to activities will be unreliable and will result in wrong decision when the necessary time for carrying goods to customer’s work place is considered as time driver, it will result in allocating less costs for customers who are nearer and more costs for those who are father. The cost is also dependent on the distance that is used for delivering goods. The cited complexity of TDABC becomes greater in calculating when we use the "origin time". Origin time is the amount of time when the goods is carried from the storage to the first customer station. A way to treat the time between the stations is to calculate its cost and divide it among all who are on the way of carrying the goods equally.

3- Collecting and updating data: it is said repeatedly that Time Driven Activity Based Costing removes the need to researching and collecting data, but it is not true. Each time that the updated model changes, the time drivers should also be updated. Even if the most repeated processes change the important point is that if there are reliable systems for determining time drivers, the management has to depend on staff viewpoints which are expressed based on their comfort and in making unused capacity.

4- Data volume: activity costing will create separately and by using TDABC a great volume of data quickly and causes the management analysis and report preparation requiring great data station and report analyzing instruments to become very powerful (Beshkoh & Kazemi, 2010).

Performance Focused Activity Based Costing

Considering the above problems and the model emphasis on time drivers, Dr. Namazi (2009) introduced the third generation of costing called Performance Focused Activity Based Costing (PFABC) to accountants for removing the most important problem due to TDABC. This system can unite with organization resource programming (ORP) and performance management system to identify activities which is thought to be a key step in ABC and is omitted in TDABC. The principal of this method is to use the estimations in calculating products' costs and services such as estimating the resources needed, overcharge appropriation rate, cost drivers, etc. This needed collecting data with high expenses and also the standard estimations needed in this system will be very difficult. This is the greatest disadvantage of PFABC system.

Managers should permanently administer two separate accounting systems. One for determining the products' expenses and the other is controlling and assessing performance. Maintaining these two systems has always forced management to encounter high expenses and problems. To remove this problem, a unified system called Performance Focused Activity Based Costing (PFABC) was proposed. This new system is based on a nine-step process for each cost item (Namazi, 2009).
First Phase: Identifying major activities
This step is similar to the first step in traditional ABC which has been omitted in TDABC. This phase is needed for two reasons: 1- the nature and behavior of costs for each activity is usually different from other activities. 2- It is one of the major components of ABC which should be maintained in order to continue the process of administrative production.

Second Phase: Identifying the actual resources needed for each activity
The staffs who administer a designed activity can recognize the type and amount of resources needed for each activity based on the behavior or companies' data systems, especially accounting data system. Resources may include time, the amount of direct materials, or other suitable measures. But resource should have a definite relationship with cost. This creates a great deal of suppleness in choosing the capacity of different effective resources. This phase includes the determination of the actual resources' behavior resulted for the cost issue regarding two resources: flexible resources and promised resources. Flexible resources have behaviors like variable costs and promised resources have behaviors like fixed costs.

Third Phase: Determining the actual rate of each activity resource
The actual rate of each activity in ABC is determined regarding the time percentage of each activity carried out by the staffs. In TDABC, only one rate of capacity cost has been determined for all parts by dividing the cost of the whole capacity utilized to the practical capacity of the resources used based on the time resource. In PFABC, the actual rates of costs are determined separately for each of activities done by the company based on different drivers through present data systems according to the actual data and regarding the resources and behaviors of its costs.

Fourth Phase: Determining the cost for each activity
PFABC determines the cost of each activity regarding the behavior of cost resource. When the resource is a changing cost, the cost of input factors are calculated by multiplying the actual resources used in each activity (AR) and the actual price of the resources used (AP).

\[ AC = AR \times AP \]

The actual price of the resources used * the actual resources used = the actual activity cost

Here flexible resources such as direct materials, direct work and production overcharge can be identified very easily and are determined as flexible resources with changing cost behavior. Also the promised costs are appropriated by using one of the methods of flexible costs appropriation approach, cost driver appropriation approach, harmonized average, net retrievable value and multi-criteria decision making models.

Fifth Phase: Calculating standard rate of activity
This stage is common in ABC and it is not seen in TDABC, but it is a key step in PFABC process administration. In this step standard rate of each activity should be estimated. This estimation can be achieved by different tools such as measurement and job assessment techniques, market mechanisms and internal or external criteria. Also we can administer statistical techniques such as regression analysis and time sequential models. This standard should be calculated accurately because it is used as a criterion for comparison with actual rates and actual costs of operations.

Sixth Phase: Calculating activity price deviation
This stage is not common neither in ABC nor is it present in TDABC. Cost managers gain price deviation by calculating actual resources needed for each activity multiplied by standard price for resources consumed and subtracting it from actual cost of each activity. Promised resources cannot be changed because their amounts are fixed.
**Seventh Phase: Calculating the cost of activities implemented**

Determining the standard amount of resources consumed in administering an activity is the first thing in order to calculate flexible resources. It is possible to use a job assessment system or statistical tools such as regression analysis to calculate this standard. The authorized flexible budget for actual work carried out regarding capacity cost of employed flexible resources is achieved by multiplying standard resources needed for the product (SR) with accrual work carried out (AW) multiplied by standard price of resources (SP).

\[(AW\times SR) \times SP = \text{Price of flexible resources utilized}\]

In order to calculate promised resources utilized, first the planned or budgeted level (BL) should be determined. This level usually is based on the concept of practical capacity. Then standard price for each promised capacity consumed is calculated through dividing the budgeted costs by budgeted level. Thus;

\[(AW\times SR) \times SP = \text{Price of promised resources utilized}\]

The difference between the two equations is related to flexible resources which act as changing costs and are related to standard price of each activity.

**Eighth Phase: Calculating value deviation**

Value deviation shows whether production manager of a company has utilized resources more than standard amount in actual manufacturing of a product or service designed or not. In fact it assesses the performance of manufacturing mangers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparing factor</th>
<th>ABC</th>
<th>TDABC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kind of drivers for cost allocation</td>
<td>Transaction drivers such as the number of initiation times</td>
<td>Time drivers like time needed for initiation activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of time drivers</td>
<td>One driver is only used with each activity</td>
<td>Infinite drivers can be used with each activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model accuracy</td>
<td>The model does not consider all activity features and it affects the costing accuracy</td>
<td>The model considers activity features in allocation time efficiently. Therefore, it has enough accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System dimensions</td>
<td>every difference in activities requires using a new activity</td>
<td>For each activity a time equation is used which has all activity features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model updating</td>
<td>The model has many details and it is time-consuming to be updated</td>
<td>The model has less details and it is easier to be updated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ability to calculate unused capacity</td>
<td>The model cannot calculate the unused capacity</td>
<td>The model can calculate the unused capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ninth Phase: Calculating profitability of each activity

The sum of efficiency and effectiveness of profitability is shown in this stage. Resources' efficiency can be described as the efficiency of an activity resulted from price deviation and amount deviation. Meanwhile the efficiency of an activity may be presented and introduced as the difference between the actual work done and the budgeted work related to the promised costs. Efficiency deviation shows whether the planned resources have been used efficiently and effectively practically or not? On the other hand, efficiency deviation shows whether planner manager has been successful in achieving the predetermined goals or not? (Namazi, 2009; Alinezhad & Saviz, 2013).
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